

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/716,896   | 11/17/2003       | James W. Biondi      | CPC-006CN2              | 7042             |
| 21323  | 7590 03/30/2005  |                      | EXAM                    | INER .           |
| •  | URWITZ & THIBEAU | MITCHELL, TEENA KAY  |                         |                  |
| HIGH STREET TOWER<br>125 HIGH STREET<br>BOSTON, MA 02110 |                  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                  |                      | 3743                    |                  |
|  |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer  | 10/716,896  | BIONDI ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit      |  |  |  |  |
| `   | Teena Mitchell  | 3743          |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Status _  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> .  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |               |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |               |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | ,   |               |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03.</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |               |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,463,930. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Claim 1 of the instant application limitations can be found in claim 1 of patent '930 except for the word "automatically", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to automatically adjust the patient's support, inasmuch as the system according to the preamble is an automatically device for weaning a patient. With respect to claim 2 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 2 of patent '930. With respect to claim 3 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 3 of patent '930. With respect to claim 4 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 4 of patent '930. With respect to claim 5 of the instant application,

the limitations can be found in claim 5 of patent '930. With respect to claim 6 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 6 of patent '930. With respect to claim 7 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 7 of patent '930. With respect to claim 8 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 8 of patent '930 except for the word "automatically", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to automatically adjust the patient's support, inasmuch as the system according to the preamble is an automatically device for weaning a patient. With respect to claim 9 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 9 of patent '930. With respect to claim 10 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 10 of patent '930. With respect to claim 11 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 11 of patent '930. With respect to claim 12 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 12 of patent '930. With respect to claim 13 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 13 of patent '930 except for the word "automatically", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to automatically adjust the patient's support, inasmuch as the system according to the preamble is an automatically device for weaning a patient. With respect to claim 14 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 14 of patent '930.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teena Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday however the examiner is on a flexible schedule.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,896 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teena Mitchell Examiner Art Unit 3743 March 21, 2005